CORRECTION Open Access

Correction to: Implementing clinical ethics committees as a complex intervention: presentation of a feasibility study in community care



Morten Magelssen^{1*}, Heidi Karlsen¹, Reidar Pedersen¹ and Lisbeth Thoresen²

Correction to: BMC Med Ethics 2020, 21: 82 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-020-00522-1

It was highlighted that the original article [1] contained an error in Table 2. This Correction article shows the correct Table 2. The original article has been updated.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-020-00522-1.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: magelssen@gmail.com

¹Centre for Medical Ethics, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Pb. 1130 Blindern, N-0318 Oslo, Norway

Table 2 Data sources in the project and the main topics they address

Qualitative data		
Data source		Main topics
	p interviews with key persons, in addition to and notes from all dialogue seminars	Key persons' experiences with work in the CECs, experienced challenges, and perceived impact of the training received
	interview with each of the participating CECs ne end of the study period	Experiences and challenges with work in the CEC, including case deliberation. Perceived impact on services, municipality and CEC members
3 Individual intervi and care sector	ews with the head of each municipality's health	Perceived impact on services and municipality. Municipal support for the CEC
	ews (up to 20) with professionals who have been e discussed in the CEC	Practical consequences of CEC involvement. Experiences with taking part in CEC deliberations
	ews (up to 15) with patients and next of kin who yed in a CEC deliberation	Practical consequences of CEC involvement. Experiences with taking part in CEC deliberations
6 CEC deliberation	reports (anonymized)	Nature of ethical issues. Characteristics of the CECs' ethical reasoning
7 Observation of C	EC deliberations (1-2 per CEC)	Deliberation process. Involvement of stakeholders
Quantitative data		
Data source		Main topics
8 CECs' yearly repo	orts	CEC activities such as seminars, other outreach, number of attendees, services involved. CEC members
9 CEC deliberation evaluation form	reports (anonymized) and committee's self- for each case	Quantitative data about CEC cases

Author details

¹Centre for Medical Ethics, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Pb. 1130 Blindern, N-0318 Oslo, Norway. ²Department of Interdisciplinary Health Sciences, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

Published online: 30 September 2020

Reference

 Magelssen M, et al. Implementing clinical ethics committees as a complex intervention: presentation of a feasibility study in community care. BMC Med Ethics. 2020;21:82. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-020-00522-1.