ERRATUM Open Access



## Erratum to: Incidental findings of uncertain significance: To know or not to know – that is not the question

Bjørn Hofmann<sup>1,2</sup>

## **Erratum**

Following publication of the original article in BMC Medical Ethics [1], it was brought to my attention that the following sentences are incorrect:

"Moreover, genetics professionals appear to think that to know is better than not to know [25] even when the information is actionable [26]." This sentence should read: Moreover, genetics professionals appear to think that to know is better than not to know [25] even when the information is not actionable [26].

"Let me therefore now turn to arguments for a right to know before summarizing the arguments in Table 1."

This sentence should read: Let me therefore now turn to arguments for a right not to know before summarizing the arguments in Table 1.

I apologise for the inconvenience this may have caused.

Received: 13 May 2016 Accepted: 21 June 2016 Published online: 23 June 2016

## Reference

 Hofmann B. Incidental findings of uncertain significance: To know or not to know – that is not the question. BMC Med Ethics. 2016;17:13.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit





Oslo, Norway

Correspondence: b.m.hofmann@medisin.uio.no

<sup>1</sup>Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Gjøvik, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Medical Ethics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1130 Blindern, N-0318